

ANC6a Public Safety Committee Meeting
AGENDA
Sherwood Recreation Center
Wednesday, March 21, 2007 at 7 pm

Please review notes from past meetings for details about ongoing committee research (e.g., loitering, papering).

1. Call to order
2. Introductions (*5 minutes*) and quick housekeeping (i.e., we now send letters...)
3. Focus Topic: Budgets for DC agencies as related to public safety (5 mins)
 - a. Department of Youth and Rehabilitation Services (Sasha Bruce House) – justification
4. New business:
 - a. Vacant, nuisance properties with illegal dumping and illicit activities - reach out to the owner program idea; join forces with TPS and EDZ (10 minutes)
 - b. Community Impact Statements to judges (Informational; 10 mins)
 - c. 1D Customized plans, comments to Cmdr Groomes (5 mins – see end for desc for 103 and 102)
 - d. Office of the Attorney General Court Reports, Corporation Counsel, (Bellino, 5 mins)
 - e. Elected DC Attorney proposed by Rep. Norton (Laisch, 5 mins)
5. Updates:
 - a. Crime Summit (Brown & Nixon) (2 minutes) - Ward 6 Task Force, 17th St NE
 - b. Teen summit: LIVE and activities to reduce crime – introduction to idea (Nixon; 5 mins)
 - c. Guide to DC with Community Outreach (Nixon & Nelson, if time permits)
 - d. Comment only if update available: “Teenage Bridge Program” (Nixon); CCTV, Crime location reporting upon request (*Nixon & Bellino*), Anti-loitering; **Maury Elementary (still no response from Janey)**; 8th & H St NE; 600 block of 10th St NE (Nixon & Fengler); Fire hydrants (Laisch); **MPD Court Comp time from 2005** – email from Chief (Nixon, Laisch, & Bellino); **Request for crime stats at location MPD** – no response appears to be stopped (Nixon & Bellino); 1609 Isherwood St NE (Nixon); Multi-agency Plan for the Pentacles – **a plan for resident meeting to combat this** (Nixon)
6. Community Concerns (5 minutes)
7. Tasks to be performed by next meeting
 - a. If anybody has a nuisance property and needs direction, then please contact a commissioner and/or a public safety committee member.
8. Adjourn

**ANC6A Public Safety Committee
2007 Mission Statement and Goals**

Mission:

Engage residents, community groups, the District of Columbia Government and all other public and private institutions in an effort to prevent criminal activity affecting our quality of life and promote the public safety and health of our community.

Goals:

1. Act as a convenient and useful forum for residents and local businesses to discuss public safety issues and solutions.
 - a. Hold monthly meetings with a quorum present.
 - b. Increase attendance of residents, businesses, community groups at meetings.
 - c. Improve outreach to and participation of residents from all parts of ANC 6A.
2. Provide the Advisory Neighborhood Commissioner with well-informed recommendations for changes in Federal and District legislation, and regulations and operating procedures of relevant government agencies, based on input from community members.
 - a. Identify at least four changes in DC or Federal legislation that will help improve the public safety of our community.
 - b. Recognize at least four changes in regulations that will help improve the public safety of our community.
3. Improve collaboration among law enforcement agencies in our community including, Park Police, FEMS, WMATA Police, Capitol Police, MPD, and all others to address community issues at the levels of procedure, policy, and legislation.
 - a. Participate in PSA meetings on a monthly basis.
 - b. Provide reports on these collaborations.
 - c. Work with these agencies and council to devise appropriate methods to enhance these relationships.
 - d. Select at least one public safety issue for which we can work with the law enforcement agencies and community to develop an activity to begin progress. (e.g., youth and safe summers)
4. Collaborate with the other ANC committees on the following issues:
 - a. Economic Development and Zoning – Abandoned/Vacant properties that are a safety hazard; illegal construction; H St NE – need for security at new properties
 - b. Transportation Committee – Need for speed control in areas affected by crime
 - c. Alcohol Beverage Licensing – Control of alcohol distribution in areas affected by narcotics and violent crime; Need for security hired at the owner’s expense in all new bars and entertainment venues

Norton Introduces Bill for an Elected D.C. District Attorney As Part of Her Free and Equal D.C. Series
March 1, 2007

Washington, DC—Congresswoman Eleanor Holmes Norton (D-DC) today introduced a bill to establish an Office of the District Attorney for the District of Columbia, to be headed by a District Attorney elected by D.C. residents, as the fourth bill in her “Free and Equal D.C.” series of bills to eliminate anti-home rule legislation, and to remedy obsolete or inappropriate intervention into the local affairs of the District of Columbia, or denials of federal benefits or recognition routinely granted to other jurisdictions. Norton said that the District of Columbia District Attorney Establishment Act of 2007 is necessary to fulfill the promise of full home rule to the city and gives residents more control over one of the most important issues in their lives. The bills filed so far this year in the “Free and Equal D.C.” series are the District of Columbia Budget Autonomy Act, the District of Columbia Legislative Autonomy Act, and the District of Columbia and United States Territories Circulating Quarter Dollar Program Act.

The Norton bill follows a November 2002 referendum where D.C. voters overwhelmingly (82%) approved a locally elected D.A. Subsequently, the City Council approved legislation authorizing the Home Rule Act to be amended. “A referendum plus a Council vote equals a double dose of democratic preference for an elected D.A.,” Norton said. “D.C. could not be clearer about the priority citizens put on an accountable criminal justice system.” The Norton bill would make the new District Attorney the city’s chief legal officer for local criminal prosecutions currently under the jurisdiction of the U.S. Attorney for the District of Columbia.

The Congresswoman said: “There is no issue of greater importance to our citizens and no issue on which D.C. residents have less say than the prosecution of local crimes. No U.S. attorney has any business in the local criminal affairs of local jurisdictions. No other citizens of the United States are treated so cavalierly on an issue of such major importance. My bill would make the D.A. accountable to the people who elect him or her as elsewhere in the country.” Norton’s bill would have the election of a D.A. to begin in 2008.

Norton said that when the U.S. Attorney is appointed by the President, he refers to the same job description that he uses in appointing every other U.S. attorney in the country. “There is one important difference. The majority of the workload of the U.S. Attorney for the District of Columbia consists of local crime cases. Our U.S. attorney is more a D.A. than a U.S. attorney. The U.S. Attorney for Washington, D.C. needs to do his federal work and leave our criminal cases to a D.A. who lives here.”

During the Clinton administration, Norton had senatorial courtesy for the District of Columbia for the first time in the city’s history and appointed the city’s first African American U.S. Attorney, Eric H. Holder, Jr., and later the first woman U.S. Attorney, Wilma A. Lewis. The current U.S. Attorney was Counselor to Attorney Generals John Ashcroft and Alberto Gonzales. He lives in the District, but residency is not a requirement. Norton said that especially today, the U.S. attorney for Washington, D.C. needs to be free to concentrate on crimes related to security and other federal interests, and noted that the prior U.S. attorney has gone on to the Assistant Attorney General for the National Security Division.

Norton’s full statement of introduction follows.

Mr. Speaker, today I introduce the District of Columbia District Attorney Establishment Act of 2007, continuing a series of bills that I will introduce this session to ensure a continuation of the process of transition to full democracy and self-government for the residents of the District of Columbia. This bill is the fourth in our “Free and Equal D.C.” series of bills to eliminate anti-Home Rule legislation and to remedy obsolete or inappropriate congressional intervention into the local affairs of the District of Columbia or denials of federal benefits or recognition routinely granted to other jurisdictions.

This bill will establish an Office of the District Attorney for the District of Columbia, to be headed by a District Attorney elected by D.C. residents. This bill effectuates a November 2002 referendum where D.C. voters overwhelmingly (82 percent) approved a locally elected D.A.

This important legislation is designed to put the District of Columbia on par with every other local jurisdiction in the country by allowing D.C. residents to elect an independent District Attorney to prosecute local criminal and civil matters now handled by the U.S. Attorney, a federal official. Instead the new District Attorney would become the city's chief legal officer. As presently constituted, the U.S. Attorney's office in the District is the largest in the country only because it serves mainly as the local city prosecutor. That office needs to be freed up to do security and other federal work particularly in the post 9-11 nation's capital.

There is no issue of greater importance to our citizens and no issue on which residents have less say here than the prosecution of local crimes. A U.S. Attorney has no business in the local criminal affairs of local jurisdictions. No other citizens in the United States are treated so unfairly on an issue of such major importance. This bill would simply make the D.A. accountable to the people who elect him or her as elsewhere in the country.

In addition to issues of democracy and self government, such as congressional voting rights and legislative and budget autonomy that District residents are entitled to as American citizens, residents are determined to achieve each and every other element of home rule. Amending the Home Rule Act with a local D.A. provision would be an important development toward our goal of achieving true self-government. I urge my colleagues to support this important measure.

Washington, DC—Congresswoman Eleanor Holmes Norton (D-DC) today introduced a bill to establish an Office of the District Attorney for the District of Columbia, to be headed by a District Attorney elected by D.C. residents, as the fourth bill in her “Free and Equal D.C.” series of bills to eliminate anti-home rule legislation, and to remedy obsolete or inappropriate intervention into the local affairs of the District of Columbia, or denials of federal benefits or recognition routinely granted to other jurisdictions. Norton said that the District of Columbia District Attorney Establishment Act of 2007 is necessary to fulfill the promise of full home rule to the city and gives residents more control over one of the most important issues in their lives. The bills filed so far this year in the “Free and Equal D.C.” series are the District of Columbia Budget Autonomy Act, the District of Columbia Legislative Autonomy Act, and the District of Columbia and United States Territories Circulating Quarter Dollar Program Act.

The Norton bill follows a November 2002 referendum where D.C. voters overwhelmingly (82%) approved a locally elected D.A. Subsequently, the City Council approved legislation authorizing the Home Rule Act to be amended. “A referendum plus a Council vote equals a double dose of democratic preference for an elected D.A.,” Norton said. “D.C. could not be clearer about the priority citizens put on an accountable criminal justice system.” The Norton bill would make the new District Attorney the city’s chief legal officer for local criminal prosecutions currently under the jurisdiction of the U.S. Attorney for the District of Columbia.

The Congresswoman said: “There is no issue of greater importance to our citizens and no issue on which D.C. residents have less say than the prosecution of local crimes. No U.S. attorney has any business in the local criminal affairs of local jurisdictions. No other citizens of the United States are treated so cavalierly on an issue of such major importance. My bill would make the D.A. accountable to the people who elect him or her as elsewhere in the country.” Norton’s bill would have the election of a D.A. to begin in 2008.

Norton said that when the U.S. Attorney is appointed by the President, he refers to the same job description that he uses in appointing every other U.S. attorney in the country. “There is one important difference. The majority of the workload of the U.S. Attorney for the District of Columbia consists of local crime cases. Our U.S. attorney is more a D.A. than a U.S. attorney. The U.S. Attorney for Washington, D.C. needs to do his federal work and leave our criminal cases to a D.A. who lives here.”

During the Clinton administration, Norton had senatorial courtesy for the District of Columbia for the first time in the city's history and appointed the city's first African American U.S. Attorney, Eric H. Holder, Jr., and later the first woman U.S. Attorney, Wilma A. Lewis. The current U.S. Attorney was Counselor to Attorney Generals John Ashcroft and Alberto Gonzales. He lives in the District, but residency is not a requirement. Norton said that especially today, the U.S. attorney for Washington, D.C. needs to be free to concentrate on crimes related to security and other federal interests, and noted that the prior U.S. attorney has gone on to the Assistant Attorney General for the National Security Division.

Norton's full statement of introduction follows.

Mr. Speaker, today I introduce the District of Columbia District Attorney Establishment Act of 2007, continuing a series of bills that I will introduce this session to ensure a continuation of the process of transition to full democracy and self-government for the residents of the District of Columbia. This bill is the fourth in our "Free and Equal D.C." series of bills to eliminate anti-Home Rule legislation and to remedy obsolete or inappropriate congressional intervention into the local affairs of the District of Columbia or denials of federal benefits or recognition routinely granted to other jurisdictions.

This bill will establish an Office of the District Attorney for the District of Columbia, to be headed by a District Attorney elected by D.C. residents. This bill effectuates a November 2002 referendum where D.C. voters overwhelmingly (82 percent) approved a locally elected D.A.

This important legislation is designed to put the District of Columbia on par with every other local jurisdiction in the country by allowing D.C. residents to elect an independent District Attorney to prosecute local criminal and civil matters now handled by the U.S. Attorney, a federal official. Instead the new District Attorney would become the city's chief legal officer. As presently constituted, the U.S. Attorney's office in the District is the largest in the country only because it serves mainly as the local city prosecutor. That office needs to be freed up to do security and other federal work particularly in the post 9-11 nation's capital.

There is no issue of greater importance to our citizens and no issue on which residents have less say here than the prosecution of local crimes. A U.S. Attorney has no business in the local criminal affairs of local jurisdictions. No other citizens in the United States are treated so unfairly on an issue of such major importance. This bill would simply make the D.A. accountable to the people who elect him or her as elsewhere in the country.

In addition to issues of democracy and self government, such as congressional voting rights and legislative and budget autonomy that District residents are entitled to as American citizens, residents are determined to achieve each and every other element of home rule. Amending the Home Rule Act with a local D.A. provision would be an important development toward our goal of achieving true self-government. I urge my colleagues to support this important measure.

----- Original message -----

From: Joseph Fengler <fengler6a02@yahoo.com>

> Commander, thanks. Steph, FYI.

>

> Joseph Fengler, ANC Commissioner 6A02

> Phone: 202-423-8868

> fengler6a02@yahoo.com

> Please visit our website - www.anc6a.org

>

>

>

> ----- Original Message -----

> From: "Groomes, Diane (MPD)" <diane.groomes@dc.gov>

> To: fengler6a02@yahoo.com

> Sent: Monday, March 19, 2007 9:59:24 AM

> Subject: FW: ANC 6A requests Multiple Agency Plan for Pentacles Apartments

>

>

> see comments below - will you meet with Captain Medina on psa 103 -issue here

>

>

>

> From: Medina, Angel (MPD)

> Sent: Sat 3/17/2007 6:12 PM

> To: Groomes, Diane (MPD)

> Cc: Keegan, Kevin (MPD); Saunders, Mark (MPD)

> Subject: RE: ANC 6A requests Multiple Agency Plan for Pentacles Apartments

>

>

> We pulled the crime stats for the Pentacles and only 11 part one offenses

> occurred there between March 15, 2006 and March 15, 2007. Since October 2006,

> 21 narcotic arrests were made in the immediate vicinity (1500 Benning Rd; 700

> 15th St; 700 16th St) of the Pentacle Apartments. I think that a future

> Operation Fight back would be more appropriate for this private property

> community.

>

> The residents there must be part of any plan the PPS develops. We can reach

> out to them and invite them to our community meetings to get their perspective

> and involvement on crime and drug related issues in their complex. Acting

> Lieutenant Saunders is in constant communication with key persons at the

> Pentacle and police are often called to enforce Barring Notices on unauthorized

> guests.

>

> At the next CORE meeting, A/Lt. Saunders will solicit ideas from the different

> agencies listed below to see what social services are available that could

> improve conditions at the Pentacles outside of law enforcement.

>

>

>
>
> From: Groomes, Diane (MPD)
> Sent: Tuesday, March 13, 2007 11:09 AM
> To: 'Joseph Fengler'
> Cc: Green, Simone (EOM); Brewton, Hiram (EOM); Saunders, Mark (MPD); Medina,
> Angel (MPD)
> Subject: RE: ANC 6A requests Multiple Agency Plan for Pentacles Apartments
>
> Thanks and will ask our CORE team to assist us on this
>
> Captain Medina – please draft action plan for these apts please and submit –
> will work with Core team on this one
>
>
> Commander Diane Groomes
> First District
> Metropolitan Police Department
> 202-698-0505 office
> 202-996-3386 pager
> diane.groomes@dc.gov

From: Joseph Fengler <fengler6a02@yahoo.com>

> Chief, thanks. I will pass your comments along to Councilmember Tommy Wells --
> he may be interested.

>

> Commissioner Nixon, you may want to follow-up with Chief Newsham to get the
> particulars of the pending changes.

>

> And as always, please let us know what we can do to help. We think the world of
> Commander Groomes and look forward to working with you over the next few years.

>

> Regards,

>

>

> Joseph Fengler, ANC Commissioner 6A02

> Phone: 202-423-8868

> fengler6a02@yahoo.com

> Please visit our website - www.anc6a.org

>

>

>

> ----- Original Message -----

> From: "Lanier, Cathy (MPD)" <cathy.lanier@dc.gov>

> To: Joseph Fengler <fengler6a02@yahoo.com>

> Cc: "Newsham, Peter (MPD)" <peter.newsham@dc.gov>

> Sent: Wednesday, March 14, 2007 1:03:15 PM

> Subject: RE: ANC 6A seeks MPD "Papering" Solutions (court time)

>

>

> Mr. Fengler,

>

> You are right. MPD officers are spending too much time papering cases at the

> United States Attorneys Office, and it is an extremely inefficient process. I

> am currently working on a plan to eliminate the need for papering by MPD

> officers. We hope to make some initial fundamental changes to streamline the

> process with the long term goal of eliminating papering all together. If you

> would like any additional information, you can contact Assistant Chief Peter

> Newsham at peter.newsham@dc.gov who will be overseeing this project.

>

>

> Cathy Lanier

> Acting Chief of Police

> Metropolitan Police Department

> (202) 727-4218

>

>



FIRST DISTRICT

CUSTOMIZED POLICING TEMPLATE

This template is to be used by all PSAs and specialized units located within the First District to outline the customized policing plan for the PSA. Special attention is to be given to the different neighborhoods that make up the PSA.

On a macro scale each PSA is policed so as to reduce PART 1 offenses using recognized patrol tactics. However, under the customized policing model, micro attention is directed to the various neighborhoods that make up the PSA. As such, the policing for prevention of the neighborhoods requires a more customized approach so as to allow for a more effective and efficient approach to policing within the First District that is consistent with the Metropolitan Police Department.

This approach to policing will balance basic crime fighting and community relations through high community visibility. The focus being focused law enforcement, neighborhood partnerships, and systemic prevention. This approach to policing will incorporate input from the community, utilization of existing resources, development of new resources and most importantly, involvement and commitment from the members of the First District.

I. Identification of PSA Neighborhoods

PSA 102 SPECIALIZED UNIT _____

Name of Neighborhood	Location/Boundaries	Description of Neighborhood
	500 Block of 8 th Streets N.E.	Business
	600-1100 Block of H Streets N.E.	Business Area/Main Metro Bus Transfer Area
	1100 block of G Streets N.E.	Business & Residents
	600 block of Pickford Pl. N.E.	Residents
	200-600 block F Streets N.E.	Residents

II. Identified Crime In the Neighborhood

Crime	Prevalent Locations	Time of Day	Number of Incidents
Robberies	500 blk of 8 th streets	Evenings	8 totals (Same Vicinity)
Robberies	600-1100 block H streets. N.E.	Evenings	“ “
Robberies	1100 G Streets. N.E.	Evenings	“ “
Burglaries	Sporadic-400-1000 5 th St N.E.	Day/Evening	9 totals (widely spread in 102)
	600 Block Pickford Pl.	All Tours	

III. Neighborhood Concerns

Neighborhood	Identified Problem/by whom	Location	Other Agency Involvement
	Robberies (fear of)	600 Pickford Pl N.E.	DPW
	Traffic concerns/Residents	2 nd & F Streets N.E.	DPW

IV. Implementation/Tactics

Neighborhood	Identified Problem	Location of Problem	Approach to Resolve	Involvement Community Stakeholders Other agencies	Expected Results/Goal
	Robberies	Above	CMDR has Robbery unit detailed includes Ofc. Walsh active foot beat officers	DPW/Community Involvement	Increased arrests/closure Robbery Suspect
	“	“	PSA perspective increased foot patrols in the area	DPW/Community Involvement/Metro	More visibility and Meet & Greets in Community
	Burglaries	“	Coordinated activities with FMT and Power Shift Officials	Community/DPW	Reduction in Burglaries

V. Measurable/Evaluation (25/50/75 100 Days)

Working Problem/Issue	Result	Goal Met?	Modifications to be made
Traffic Enforcement/2 nd & F St. N.E.	Measured in first two weeks then next 30 days		
Robberies/H street corridor 600 Pickford Pl. N.E.	“ “ Increased Police foot presence		
Burglaries	Measured in 30 days		

Submitted by PSA Lieutenant: _____

Date: _____

Reviewed by Captain: _____

Date: _____

Approved by Commander: _____

Date: _____



This template is to be used by all PSAs and specialized units located within the First District to outline the customized policing plan for the PSA. Special attention is to be given to the different neighborhoods that make up the PSA.

On a macro scale each PSA is policed so as to reduce PART 1 offenses using recognized patrol tactics. However, under the customized policing model, micro attention is directed to the various neighborhoods that make up the PSA. As such, the policing for prevention of the neighborhoods requires a more customized approach so as to allow for a more effective and efficient approach to policing within the First District that is consistent with the Metropolitan Police Department.

This approach to policing will balance basic crime fighting and community relations through high community visibility. The focus being focused law enforcement, neighborhood partnerships, and systemic prevention. This approach to policing will incorporate input from the community, utilization of existing resources, development of new resources and most importantly, involvement and commitment from the members of the First District.

VI. Identification of PSA Neighborhoods PSA__103_____ SPECIALIZED UNIT _____

Name of Neighborhood	Location/Boundaries	Description of Neighborhood
Love Joy	10 th to 13 th , Massachusetts to F Street NE	The neighborhood is mostly residential, it also has schools and
Kingsman	13 ^t to 15 th Street, A to G Street NE	This area consists of residential row houses and a checkers Fast Food Establishment
Rosedale	15 th to 19 th , Constitution to Benning Road NE	This area consists of residential row houses, condominiums, apartment buildings
Kingsman Park	19 th to Oklahoma, East Capitol to Benning road NE	Row Houses, RFK Stadium

VII. Identified Crime In the Neighborhood

Neighborhood	Crime	Prevalent Locations	Time of Day	Number of Incidents
Love Joy	Robberies	1300 block D and C Street NE (Residential Parking, 300 400 Block of 10 th Street NE	Robberies Day and Night	Parking various throughout the day, Robberies 300 to 400 Block of 10 th to 11 th Street NE day and midnight hours
Kingsman	Drug dealing	600 14 th Place NE, 14t & G Street, NE	Evening, Night	Various Incidents
Rosedale	Homicides, Robberies, Drug	1600 Block E, Kramer, Gales, Isherwood	Robberies Various, Drug Dealing	Homicides 2, Robberies 7 over the past month, Drug dealing various

	Dealing		Evening Night, Homicides Nights	
Kingsman Park	Stolen Auto	500 25 th Place NE	Various	Five over past month

VIII. Neighborhood Concerns

Neighborhood	Identified Problem/by whom	Location	Other Agency Involvement
Love Joy	Traffic issues/ Citizens	1300 Blocks of C and D Street NE	DPW, enforcement of residential parking
Kingsman	Loitering Drug dealing/Citizens	14 th & G Street, NE	
Rosedale	Drug dealing, abandoned buildings, illegal dumping and Crime/ Citizens	1600 Blocks of E, Kramer, Gales, and Isherwood Street, NE	DPW, Consumer regulatory Affairs
Kingsman Park	Parking enforcement during stadium events/ Citizens	Throughout defined area	DPW

IX. Implementation/Tactics

Neighborhood	Identified Problem	Location of Problem	Approach to Resolve	Involvement Community Stakeholders Other Agencies	Expected Results/Goal
Love Joy	Traffic & robberies	1300 block of C and D Street NE	Assign Officers to the four Neighborhoods. Within each neighborhood, there are three to five block captains. Officers will be responsible with knowing every resident and business owner in their neighborhood. This will consist of at least seven to nine officers encompassing all the shifts. Officers will be required to park their cars at least two hours during the tour day are working, and conduct meet and greets in the affected neighborhood. There will also be at least one officer permanently assigned on foot in the assigned	DP	A reduction of traffic complaints in the area as gauge by citizen complaints. A reduction in the robberies as gauge by the crime statistics in the area.

			neighborhood. The officers will be required to keep a log of all the residents on their beat. Checks with the citizens will be done by the Sergeants to ensure that each resident has met their Neighborhood officers. Officers will be responsible for contacting the block captain's in their area at least once a week to capture any concerns that residents may have filter to them. Citizen Block captains will be responsible for organizing information in their particular area. They will receive non emergency information from the citizens and either direct them how to act on the problem or pass it along to their neighborhood officers. The goal is to provide and avenue for all residents to be help in touch with their neighborhood officers and for them to know and reach them on a consistent basics The officer's steady presence and knowledge of the problems of the area should result in a reduction of the identified problems. As it relates to the specific plan in each neighborhood officers will customized their individual plan for issues based on feedback from their neighborhood		
Kingsman	Loitering Drug Dealing	1 4 th & G, 600 Block of 14 th Place NE	“ “		A reduction of congregation in the area.
Rosedale	Drug dealing Violent Crime Trash Issues	1600 Block of E, Kramer, Rosedale and Gales	“ “	DPW, Consumer Regulatory Affairs	A reduction of crime which would be compared by the daily statistics and citizen's satisfaction conducted by before and after surveys.
Kingsman Park	Stolen Auto Traffic	Throughout define neighborhood	“ “	DPW, SOD	A reduction in the Stolen auto's as evident by the crime statistics and citizens satisfaction with parking as measured by survey.

X. Measurable/Evaluation (25/50/75 100 Days)

Working Problem/Issue	Result	Goal Met?	Modifications to be made

Submitted by PSA Lieutenant: _____

Date: _____

Reviewed by Captain: _____

Date: _____

Approved by Commander: _____

Date: _____